

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/808,004	. 03/15/2001	Kentaro Murase	1359.1042	4583	
21171 75	90 06/16/2005		EXAM	INER	
STAAS & HALSEY LLP			ZAND, K	ZAND, KAMBIZ	
SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2132	2132	
			DATE MAILED, 06/16/200	_	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/808,004	MURASE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Kambiz Zand	2132			
The MAILING DATE of this communication		vith the correspondence address			
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Ci after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatic - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a sin. a reply within the statutory minimum of thi eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>14 March 2005</u> .				
	,_				
3) Since this application is in condition for all	•	· •			
closed in accordance with the practice un	der <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application	ation.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	ndrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.		•			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	na/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 March 2001</u> is/a	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ ob	jected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	· · ·			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ie Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority docur	· ·				
2. Certified copies of the priority docur		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the		received in this National Stage			
application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a		received			
See the attached detailed Since detion for a		A			
	Ka	mb 12 Tand			
Attachment(s)		WIW .			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)			
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SI 	·	s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Offi	ce Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20050518			

Application/Control Number: 09/808,004 Page 2

Art Unit: 2132

DETAILED ACTION

1. The text of those sections of Title 35,U.S.Code not included in this section can be found in the prior office action.

- The prior office actions are incorporated herein by reference. In particular, the observations with respect to claim language, and response to previously presented arguments.
- 3. Claims 1-10 have been amended.
- 4. Claims 1-10 are pending.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but they are not persuasive. However Examiner has issued another non-final in order to have applicant a proper opportunity to address the points below since the last rejection was made by previous examiner.

As per Applicant's arguments that "biological information is not obtained by an apparatus held by and carried about by the user but, instead by first and second biological information obtaining parts respectively provided in an owner registration apparatus and an owner confirmation apparatus, each thereof placed in the facility", examiner refers applicant to the following remarks:

Having the apparatus in a facility or a location is a design choice by
 nature A recitation directed to the manner in which a claimed apparatus

Application/Control Number: 09/808,004 Page 3

Art Unit: 2132

is intended to be used does not distinguish the claimed apparatus from the prior art if prior art has the capability to do so perform (See MPEP 2114 and Ex Parte Masham, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987)). The prior art is replete with references disclosing optical cards used to store information (sensitive, encrypted or otherwise normal data).

- In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e.," biological information is not obtained by an apparatus held by and carried about by the user but, instead by first and second biological information obtaining parts respectively provided in an owner registration apparatus and an owner confirmation apparatus, each thereof placed in the facility") are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).
- Applicant's amendments does not change the fact that a biological (biometric)
 sample of a user is taken by a first apparatus (location not relevant; see case law
 above) and stored, a sample is taken by the second apparatus and compared, if
 authentic a user is authenticated.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102 and 103

See the previous examiner action in view of new examiner remarks above.

Application/Control Number: 09/808,004

Art Unit: 2132

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: see enclosed PTO-892.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kambiz Zand whose telephone number is (571) 272-3811. The examiner can normally reached on Monday-Thursday (8:00-5:00). If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gilberto Barron can be reached on (571) 272-3799. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned as (703) 872-9306. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kambiz Zand

06/13/2005

AU2132